1	DENNIS J. HERRERA, State Bar #139669 City Attorney		
2 3	WAYNE SNODGRASS, State Bar #148137 CHRISTINE VAN AKEN, State Bar #241755 Deputy City Attorneys		
$\begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	1 Dr. Carlton B. Goodlett Place City Hall, Room 234		
5	San Francisco, California 94102-4682 Telephone: (415) 554-4633		
6	Facsimile: (415) 554-4699 E-Mail: christine.van.aken@sfgov.org		
7	Attorneys for Defendant		
8	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
11	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	DANIEL LEVIN; MARIA LEVIN; PARK		
13	LANE ASSOCIATES, L.P.; THE SAN FRANCISCO APARTMENT ASSOCIATION: and THE COALITION FOR		CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION
14	ASSOCIATION; and THE COALITION FOR BETTER HOUSING,	FOR LEAVE	S ADMINISTRATIVE MOTION FO FILE SUPPLEMENTAL TO MOTION FOR
15	Plaintiffs,	TEMPORARY	RESTRAINING ORDER AND RY INJUNCTION;
16	VS.	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
17	CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,	Hearing Date:	August 22, 2014
18	Defendant.	Time: Before:	10:00 a.m. The Honorable Charles R. Breyer
19	2 oronaum	Berore	Courtroom 6, 17th Floor
20		Trial Date:	None Set
21		1	
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27 28			
ا ۵∠	1		

Case3:14-cv-03352-CRB Document21 Filed08/21/14 Page2 of 3

1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			

Pursuant to Local Rules 7-3(d) and 7-11, Defendant City & County of San Francisco respectfully requests permission to file the supplemental material, attached as Exhibit A to the accompanying Declaration of Christine Van Aken, in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for a temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction.

The reason for this request is to update the Court concerning factual developments that have occurred since the City filed its opposition brief on August 15, 2014, which are material to the motion. Specifically: Plaintiffs sought emergency injunctive relief on an extremely short briefing schedule, with a hearing set for August 22, 2014, because Park Lane Associates, L.P. received notice that its tenants in Unit 704 intended to move on August 24, 2104 and requested their relocation assistance payment upon moveout. The City's counsel learned on the evening of August 19, 2014 that the tenants in Unit 704 in fact moved out on August 16, 2014, but Park Lane did not pay their relocation assistance payment at that time.

On August 20, the City's counsel conferred with Plaintiffs' counsel concerning this administrative motion. On August 21, Plaintiffs' counsel informed the City's counsel that he objects to this administrative motion. He states that he does not mind the City's bringing the facts concerning Unit 704 to the attention of the Court but objects to the City's presentation of these facts and the suggestion that the new developments mitigate the harm faced by Plaintiffs.

Dated: August 21, 2014

DENNIS J. HERRERA City Attorney

By: /s/Christine Van Aken CHRISTINE VAN AKEN

> Attorneys for Defendant CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO

28

[PROPOSED] ORDER For good cause shown, the Court hereby gives Defendant leave to file the supplemental material attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Christine Van Aken in opposition to Plaintiffs' motion for temporary restraining order and preliminary injunction. Dated: _____, 2014 By:_ HON. CHARLES R. BREYER UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE